SCHMENT AREA TREATMENTS

SAWRA-KUDDU H.E.P.-110MW

PABBER VALLEY POWER CORPORATION LTD., ROHRU

(A Unit of Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board)

Index

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1	Introduction	1-5
2	Tributaries of Pabbar and its gradient	5
3	Elevation zones in the catchment	6
4	Slope class zones in the catchment	7
5	Land Use in the catchment	7-8
6	Soil in the catchment	9-10
7	Soil Erosion	11-12
8	Priority of treatment	12-28
9	Objectives of the CAT Plan	28-30
10	Proposed Treatment	30-70
11	Total Cost of CAT Plan	70-71
12	Implementing agency	71-72
13	Institutional Mechanisms for implementation	72-73
14	Role of PVPC and other Agencles	73-76
15	Monitoring and evaluation	76-79
16	Release of funds	80
17	Other Recommendations	80-8
18	Drawings Photographs of the catchments	ahead-81

Sr. Executive Engineer,
PVPC, Khalini
Shimla-2 (H.P)

Abbreviations Used

ACF	Assistant Conservator of Forests		
ADO	Agriculture Development Officer		
Agri.	Agriculture		
AIS&LUS	All India Soil and Land use Survey		
APO	Annual Plan of Operation		
CAT	Catchment Area Treatment		
CGI	Corrugated galvanized Iron		
Cm	Centimetre		
Cum	Cubic metre		
DA	Daily Allowance		
DC	Deputy Commissioner		
DFO	Divisional Forest Officer		
Drg.No	Drawing Number		
DRSM	Dry Rubble Stone Masonry		
Fin.	Finance		
FPR	Flood Prone Rivers		
FRH	Forest Rest House		
Gol	Government of India		

Ha/ha	Hectare		
HDO	Horticulture Development Officer		
HEP	Hydro-Electric Project		
HP	Himachal Pradesh		
I/c	Including		
kg	kilogram		
L/S	Lump sum		
LPG	Liquefied petroleum gas		
M & E	Monitoring & Evaluation		
MD	Managing Director		
NGO	Non-governmental organization		
No.	Number		
NTFP	Non-Timber Forest Produce		
PC	Personnel Computer		
Phy.	Physical		
PRI	Panchayati Raj Institution		
PVPC	Pabbar Valley Power Corporation		
Qtls.	Quintals		
Qty.	Quantity		
R&M	Repair and Maintenance		

Rm	Running metre		
Rs.	Rupees		
RVP	River Valley Projects		
Spp	Species		
Sq Km	Square Kilometres		
TA	Travelling Allowance		

1. Introduction

1.1 Catchment Area

Pabbar river originating at Gangdari Dhar at an elevation of about 5,400 m drains a catchment of about 1034 Sq Km in Himachal Pradesh. The barrage site of the 110 MW Sawra-Kuddu Hydro-Electric Power project is proposed at Hatkoti. The total catchment of the project above the barrage is 968.30 Sq Km.

However, a total of five HEPs (Hydro-Electric Project) are envisaged on the main Pabbar River in HP. The uppermost being Tangnu-Romai, followed by Dhamwari-Sunda, Majhgaon-Chirgaon, Paudital-Lassa and Sawra-Kuddu. The last one being the lowermost in a cascading series as shown in Drawing No.1

1.2 Effective Sub-Catchment

Generation capacity of each of the above mentioned 5

HEPs exceeds 10 MW meaning thereby that each one would have

its own CAT Plan (Catchment Area Treatment Plan) as per Gol

stipulations. Hence, the whole catchment of Pabbar in HP can be subdivided into six zones as there would be five sub-catchments above the barrage of each project extending up to the barrage site of immediate upstream one, which would be its effective subcatchment. The top most project's effective sub-catchment would obviously extend right up to the ridge from where Pabbbar river originates. The sixth zone or sub-catchment would consist of areas draining into Pabbar below the barrage site of lower most project (i.e. Sawra-Kuddu HEP at Hatkoti) in HP down to the tailrace outlet.

Accordingly, the effective sub-catchment of Sawra-Kuddu HEP is the area draining between the barrage site of immediate upstream project (i.e. Paudital - Lassa HEP) down to the barrage site (of Sawra-Kuddu HEP) at Hatkoti. The area of effective sub-catchment of this project is 197.3 Sq Km. The remaining catchment of Pabbar in HP below the barrage site at Hatkoti and above the tailrace outlet at Snall is 65.7 Sq Km, which has been referred above as the sixth zone/sub-catchment.

1.3 Extended Effective Sub-catchment

Experience has shown that river stretch downstream of barrage and upstream of tailrace outlet is impacted due to impounding and abstraction of river water. Besides, the aspiration of the people in the close vicinity of the project is also raised as they being users of the forestland diverted for the project find it difficult to comprehend that CAT Plan would not cover their areas. The catchment area of this stretch of the river when included with the effective sub-catchment becomes kind of extended effective sub-catchment. In this case the same measures up to 263 Sq km (i.e. 197.3 Sq Km + 65.7 Sq Km).

1.4 Treatable catchment area

The treatable catchment of Sawra-Kuddu HEP is the effective sub-catchment measuring 197.3 Sq Km as discussed in the foregoing. Although treatment of the extended part of the effective sub-catchment is not obligatory for the project yet keeping in view the impact of the project and aspirations of the

local people expressed by representatives of the PRIs during interactions with them, it has been included in the catchment area to be treated. Moreover, the concerned officers of the Forest department during similar interaction have also opined that the CAT-Plan should be practicable/feasible and after its implementation/execution, treatment becomes a model in the area. And last but not the least; the area of the extended portion is small and manageable.

But, during discussions with the concerned officers of the HP Forest Department, it was felt that a more rational approach has to be adopted in view of the fact that other four HEPs envisaged in this catchment are not coming up immediately though their sub-catchments also contributes to the silt flow of Pabbar river.

As such it was decided that, at least for the time being i.e. till other projects CAT Plans are not formulated, whole of the catchment of Pabbar river (i.e. total catchment of 1034 Sq Km) in HP should be treated by Sawra-Kuddu HEP with suitable apportioning of the CAT Plan funds. Accordingly, it was further decided that in no case share of the treatment cost for catchment

above the barrage site of Paudital-Lassa HEP should exceed 50 % of the total outlay for works component of the CAT Plan of this project. Treatment of effective sub-catchment and its downstream extension (total area = 263 Sq Km) would be given priority over other parts of the catchment.

2. Tributaries of Pabbar and its gradient

The gradient of the river over the entire stretch ranges 15-40m/km, but reaches values up to 200m/km in the upper most regions. The river valley in upper region is very narrow and located between steep and high mountain ranges while along the lower stretches it is wider. The Pabbar river is joined by various Nallahs/khads in its course from its origin to Sawra (Hatkotl). Numerous tributaries known locally as Khads Join Pabbar River In its course in HP up to the barrage site at Hatkoti. These are Supin khad, Sundru khad, Khargara khad, Andhra khad, Massrat khad, Shikdi khad (near Rohru), Dogri khad and last but not the least Biskalti khad (near Hatkoti), all from the right bank of the river; and Gumalti, Peja and Pakhal khads from the left bank.

3. Elevation zones in the catchment

The entire catchment of Pabbar in HP falls between elevation 1400 m to 5000m above the mean sea level (M.S.L). About 25 % of the area falls below 2000 m; 35 % between 2000 m to 3000 m; 39 % between 3000 m to 4500 m and only 1.11 % of the catchment is above 4500 m and above, which falls in the permanent snow line basin.

s.n.	Elevation range (in meters above MSL)	Percentage of Catchment
1	1400 m to 2000 m	25
2	2000 m to 3000 m	35
3	3000 m to 4500 m	39
4	4500 m and above	1
7	otal catchment 1400m and above	100

Around 87 Sq. km (8 %) of the catchment area lies at altitudes above 4000 m of which 13 % falls within 4,500 - 5,400 m altitudes.

4. Slope class zones in the catchment

The slope in the catchment varies greatly between extremes of gradients as tabulated below:-

S.No.	Classification of Slopes	Percentage of Catchment
1.	Very gently to gently sloping	1-10%
2.	Moderately steep to steep	15-33%
3.	Steep to very steep	15-33%
4.	Very steep to very very steep	>33%

5. Land Use in the catchment

The land use classification and coverage in the catchment, as per the land use map (Source: Procured from NRSA, Hyderabad. Survey of India Topo-sheet and others) the built-up land, degraded or scrub land, evergreen/semi-evergreen forest, forest plantation, grass land/grazing land, agricultural land (kharif + ravi), land with or without scrub, plantations, snow covered/glacial area and Rocky unculturable wastes including

areas of steams & river beds is app. 0.15 %, 3.25 %, 20 %, 15 %, 10 %, 15 %, 30 %, 3 %, 2 % and 1.6 & respectively. These have been worked out from the maps available (Drg.No. 2 & Drg. No. 2.1). For the ease of reading the same is tabulated below.

s.N.	Land Use	Percentage of Catchment		
1	Built-up land	0.15		
2	Degraded or scrub land	3.25		
3	Evergreen/semi-evergreen forest	20.00		
4	Forest plantation	15.00		
5	Grass land/grazing land	10.00		
6	Agricultural land (kharif + ravi)	15.00		
7	Wasteland without scrub	30.00		
8	Plantation	3.00		
9	Snow covered/glacial area	2.00		
10	Rocky unculturable wastes 1/c areas of steams & river beds	1.60		
	Total	100		

6. Soil in the catchment

The soils of the area are derived from heterogeneous parent material i.e. gneiss, schist, sandstone and phyllite. The upper and mid mountainous portions that comprise this catchment area are characterized by shallow soils with coarse to medium texture and dark brown to very dark grayish brown color and have a thick layer of leaf and organic matter on the surface. The soil profiles met within the area (including the catchment area) are generally well developed with clear demarcation of horizons. The soils are brown podsols and transitional podsols. They have a fine texture akin to clays and clayey loams. Spruce and fir grow on a wide range of soil especially brown soils and podsols. Kail and Deodar generally thrive on brown soils. Large quantities of decomposing vegetative material enrich the forest soils with a good deal of humus. The following textures of soil generally of good depth are met within the area.

- 1. Loam or clayey loam in Jakhi, Khashdhar area.
- 2. Sandy loam in Kuddu and Batar forest areas
- 3. Loamy clays with black color as in oak bearing areas.

4. Loamy soil with a cover of humus suited for Fir & Spruce.

As in Larot, Guas and Chhachpur area, on ridges precipitous slopes and southern aspects, the soils tend to be shallow and dry. It is a common feature around villages because of frequent fires and excessive grazing.

Important physiographic units classification as per soil taxonomy together with their other important characteristics of soils of upper Yamuna catchment, which includes Pabbar catchment, as brought out in their Report on 'Demarcation of Priority sub-watersheds' in the catchment of upper Yamuna river by All India Soil and Landuse Survey Organization, Govt. of India (Report No. Agri.830) are furnished in Table No.:1&2. and Drawing No: 3, 3.1, 3.2 & 3.3

Soil types and their coverage in the catchment

S.N.	Soil Type	Percentage of Catchment
1	Sandy	20 %
2	Loamy	15 %
3 4	Coarse Loamy soil	25 %
4	Sandy to Coarse Loamy soil	35 %
5 Clayey		5 %
	Total	100 %

7. Soil Erosion

Lack of proper soil and water conservation measures coupled with anthropogenic activities in the catchment leads to increase in silt flow in the Pabbar river. The increased run off from the denuded

mountain slopes and others finds its way into the river through a network of gullles and streams carrying sediments with its flow. The most important sources of this sediment flow immediately entering into the rivers are gully and stream bank erosion. Another important factor that adds to sediment load and which contributes to soil degradation is the grazing pressure. A large number of animals graze the pastures, due to this pressure - the productivity of the pasture is also declining further. The lack of proper vegetal cover is a factor to cause degradation and thereby results in severe run-off/soil erosion and subsequently premature siltation of the reservoir. The path/road construction activities, frequent land slides and slips along the river also contribute to the huge debris in the beds of river and call for suitable control measures.

Thus, the soil erosion in the catchment would reduce the productivity of land, effect adversely the local water supplies, cause aridity in the climate and increase the frequency of land slides/slips beside contributing to sediment load to the reservoir. Instead of carrying of expensive and difficult operation of desilting of the reservoir, it is wise to treat the catchment of the river to reduce the silt inflow.

At present the sediment output of the catchment above the barrage site at Hatkoti is to the tune of about 35, 000 tonnes/year. The conditions obtaining in the catchment leading to the generation of silt are not static. The catchment is a dynamic entity bustling with human and non-human activities. As such the silt generation is greatly influenced by the scale of these activities.

8. Priority of treatment

For deciding the priority of area for treatment susceptibility to soil erosion has been taken as the sole basis. The AIS&LUS (All India Soil and Land Use Survey) Agriculture Report No. 830 has been adopted. This report categorizes Pabbar Watershed

catchment into various sub-watersheds. Priority of treatment has been assigned to each sub-watershed based on value obtained for run-off potential as tabulated ahead. Table-1 & Table-2.

Table-1

Runoff Potential Mapping Unit Legend

Mapping Symbol	ymbol				
Iı	Very gently to gently slopping (1-10%) river terraces: Cultivated, brown to very dark grayish brown and dark yellowish brown, moderately deep to very deep, coarse loamy soils; slight erosion.				
M ₁	mountain side slopes , cultivated poorly terraced; brown, shallow to moderately				
M ₂	Moderately steep to steep (15-33%) mountain side slopes; thin forest vegetation (mostly bushes) and grass lands; brown to dark grayish brown, shallow to moderately deep, sandy to coarse loamy soils; 10-25% rock out crops; moderate to severe erosion with occasional land slips.	70			

M3	Moderately steep to steep (15-33%) mountain side slopes, moderately thick forest, vegetation and grasslands; brown to dark grayish brown, shallow to moderately deep, sandy to coarse loamy soils; 10-25% rock out crops; moderate to severe erosion with occasional landslips.	65
Prive Prepart	Very steep to very steep (more than 33%) mountain side slopes; grass lands and thin forest vegetation (mostly bushes); dark brown to dark grayish, brown and dark yellowish brown shallow to moderately deep, coarse loamy soils, 15-40% rock out crops; moderate to severe erosion with occasional land slides.	80
P2	Very steep to very very steep (more than 33%) mountain side slopes, moderately thick forest vegetation; brown to very dark grayish brown, shallow to moderately deep, coarse loamy soils; 15-40% rock out crops; moderate to severe erosion with occasional land slides.	75
Un september of the sep	Very Steep to very-very steep (more than 33%) mountain slopes; snow bound for 5 to 8 months in a year; thin grassy cover; brown to dark grayish brown; very shallow to shallow, coarse loamy soils; 15-40% rock out crops; moderate to severe erosion with occasional land slides.	
U ₂	Very steep to very-very steep (more than 33%) mountain slopes, glacier etc; permanent snow cover.	CP-ANACON

These indices have been and are still in vogue for deciding priority of treatment under RVP (River Valley Projects) and FPR (Flood Prone Rivers) schemes of the government of India Ministry of Agriculture. Although some of the watersheds may have been treated in the past yet as mentioned elsewhere in this report the situation in the catchment is dynamic and proneness to erosion keeps varying with time. Moreover, due to this scheme's own limitations entire watershed in many cases could not be treated as norms provided for hilly regions were kept at par or even below those of plain areas. Physical norms for number of plants per hectare of treated land were also reduced considerably to obviate incurring expenditure beyond the cost norms. For the same reasons barbed-wire fencing was not provided to the plantations and instead live hedge fencing was attempted. Effectiveness of live hedge fencing has been and is debatable in these regions as it was created in the same year as that of main species planting. Owing to slow growth rates in these colder climes the fencing has by and large remained ineffective. This seriously compromised the quality of treatment provided. Relative

Priority Index has been classified as per requirement of this CAT

Plan and may be at variance with those followed in RVP/FPR

scheme. Without passing any value judgement on the success or

otherwise of the treatments done in the past, effort would again

be made in this Plan to treat all sub-watersheds afresh with new

initiatives to reduce silt flow which remains one of the objectives

of this plan.

The priority classes vis-à-vis run off potential index is given as under.

S.N.	Relative Priority Index class	Treatment Priority
1	> 80	Critical
2	56 - 80	Very High
3	46 - 55	High
4	31 - 45	Medium
5	< 30	Low

A word of caution needs to be added here that the AIS&LUS

despite being the only authentic survey ever taken to cover all

the sub-watersheds may have become dated for some areas in

view of fast changing conditions in and dynamics of the

catchments. As such some deviations may have to be made at the

time of implementation. The implementing agency should use its

own observation, experience and judgement while making

departure from this prioritization of sub-watersheds for

treatment.

Table - 2

Characteristics of Yamuna basin - Pabbar Valley Sub-watersheds

S. No	Sub- Water- shed code	SYMBOL	Area in ha	Run off %	Product of area and Runoff	Runoff Potential Index (RPI)	Relative priority
1.	Ymlc	11	25	50	1250		-
1		P1	425	80	34000		
		P2	2900	75	217500		
	Total		3350		252750	75	26 (Low)
2.	Ym1g	11	25	50	1250		
		M 1	500	65	32500		
		P1	1575	80	126000		
		P2	2475	75	185625		
	Total	1	4575		345375	75	29 (Low)
3.	Ym1h	11	25	50	1250		
		M	125	65	8125		
		M 2	25	70	1750		
		M 3	700	65	45500		
		P1	1250	80	100000		
		P2	900	75	67500	,	
	Total		3025		224125	74	48 (High)

4.	Ym1j	11	600	50	30000		
		M 1	200	65	13000		
		M 2	150	70	10500		
		M 3	1450	65	94250		
		P1	425	80	34000		
		P2	1175	75	88125		
	Total		4000		269875	67	84 (Critical)
5.	Ym1k	11	350	50	17500		
	111111	M 2	50	70	350		
7		M 3	200	65	13000		
		P1	825	80	66000		
		P2	1200	75	9000		
W	Total		2625	·	190000	72	62 (Very High)
6.	Ym1n	11	50	50	2500		
		M 1	25	65	1625		
	Y	M 2	225	70	15750	7	
		M 3	850	65	55250		
		P1	1300	80	104000		
		P2	1950	75	146250		
	Total		4400		325375	74	49 (High)
7.	Ym2a	11	25	50	1250		
		M	300	65	19500		

		1			A STATE OF THE STA		
2		P1	825	80	66000		
		P2	2550	75	191250		
	04	1.0					
PHE F	Total		3700		278000	75	30 (Low)
8.	Ym2d	11	525	50	26250		
		M 1	875	65	56875		
		M 3	675	65	43875		
		P1	875	80	70000		
	Total		2950		197000	67	86 (Critical)
9.	Ym2f	I1	400	50	20000		
		M 1	950	65	61750		
		M 3	375	65	24375		
	sup-man	P1	1575	80	126000		
		P2	150	75	11250		
	Total		3450		243375	71	65 (Very High)
10	Ym2g	l1	200	50	10000		
		M 1	1475	65	95875		
		M 3	250	65	16250		
		P1	1525	80	122000		
		P2	350	75	26250		•
	Total		3800		270375	71	66 (Very High)

		M	675	65	43875		
14	Ym2m	11	100	50	5000		
	Total		1023		106250	65	50 (High)
- 11	Total	FZ	125	75	9375	15	F0 (111 1)
		P1 P2	275	80	22000	-	
		M 3	575	65	37375		
		M 2	25	70	1750		
		M 1	300	65	19500		
13	Ym2k	11	325	50	16250		
	Total	6	4825		351250	73	54 (High)
		1.2	123	/3	343/3		
		P2	725	75	166000 54375		
		M 2 P1	600	65	42000		
		M 1	1175	65	76375		
12	Ym2j	I1	250	50	12500		
	Total		3925		279875	71	67 (Very High)
		FZ	450	75	33750		
-15		P1 P2	1500	80	120000	-	
		M 2	150	65	10500		
		M 1	1625	65	105625		
11	Ym2h	11	200	50	10000		

-

. . . .

		M 2	175	70	12250		
		M 3	300	65	19500		
		P1	2100	80	168000		
1000		P2	375	75	28125		
1.6	Total		3725		276750	74	65 (Very High)
15	Ym2n	11	650	50	32500		
Wit I		M	375	65	24375		
		M 2	550	70	38500		
		M 3	450	65	29250		
		P1	1600	80	128000		
-		P2	1475	75	110625		
11/2	Total	9	5100		363250	72	47 (High)
16	Ym2p	11	550	50	27500		
		M	825	65	53625		
		M 2	375	70	26250	-	
		M 3	575	65	37375		
		P1	1425	80	114000	1	
		P2	750	75	56250		
	Total		4500		315000	70 ′	52 (High)
17	Ym2q	I1	200	50	10000		

		M 1	25	65	1625		1
		M 3	200	65	13000		
		P1	625	80	50000		
		P2	100	75	7500		
	Total		1150		82125	71	69 (Very High)
18	Ym2r	I1	475	50	23750		
		M 1	100	65	6500		
75		M 2	25	70	1750		
		M 3	1025	65	66625		
		P1	1550	80	124000		
		P2	875	75	65625		
	Total	4	4050		288250	71	70 (Very High)
19	Ym2s	11	375	50	18750		
AS I		M 1	50	65	3250		
		M 2	125	70	8750		
		M 3	200	65	13000		
		P1	1150	80	92000		
-		P2	575	75	43125		
	Total		2475		178875	72	63 (Very High)
20	Ym2t	M .	100	65	6500		

T		P1	1450	80	116000		
		P2	1700	75	127500		
		U1	50	60	3000		
	1-41-1		7700		057000	77	F (1)
-	Total	-	3300		253000	77	5 (Low)
21	Ym3a	11	600	50	30000		
		M 1	125	65	8125		
		M 2	125	70	8750		
		M 3	775	65	50375		
		P1	575	80	46000		
		P2	1025	75	76875		
	Total		3225		220125	68	50 (Hlgh)
22	Ym3b	11	350	50	17500		
•		M	275	65	17875		
		M 2	250	70	17500		
		M 3	50	65	3250		5
		P1	1025	80	82000		
		P2	-	75	136875		
		U1	75	60	4500		
	Total		3850		279500	73	63 Very High)
23	Ym3c	11	25	50	1250		
•		M 1	25	65	1625		

P. V. Selberger

		M 2	300	70	21000		
		P1	625	80	50000		
		P2	1225	75	91875		
		U1	400	60	24000		
	Total		2600		189750	73	60 (Very High)
24	Um3d	11	75	50	3750		
		M 1	150	65	9750		
		M 2	350	70	24500		
		P1	1700	80	136000		
		P2	1125	75	84375		
		U1	1400	60	84000		
	Total		4800		342375	71	75 (Very High)
25	Ym3f	I1	50	50	2500		
_		M 1	100	65	6500		
		M 2	25	70	1750		
		M 3	200	65	13000		
		P1	750	80	60000		
-		P2	450	75	33750	-	
	Total		1575		117500	75 .	32 (Medium)
26	Ym3h	M 1	50	65	3250		
-		M	375	70	26250		

	Parameter Control	2					29 100 100 100 100
		M 3	475	65	30875		
		P1	1750	80	140000		
		P2	1150	75	86250		
	Total		3800		286625	67	87 (Critical)
27	Ym3j	11	150	50	7500		
		M 1	75	65	4875		
	H	M 2	125	70	8750		
		M 3	250	65	16250		
		P1	575	80	46000		
		P2	1200	75	90000		
	Total		2375		173375	73	57 (Very High)
28	Ym3k	11	200	50	10000		
		M	25	65	1625		
		M 2	500	70	35000		
		M 3	250	65	16250		
		P1	1125	80	90000		
		P2	300	75	22500		
COLC		U1	25	60	1500		
	Total		2425		176875	73	58 (Very High)
	Ym3m	11	825	50	41250		

		M 2	225	70	15750		
	-	P1	875	80	70000		
RI-II	-	P2	600	75	45000		
		U1	125	60	7500		
	Total		2650		179500	68	80 (Critical)
30	Ym3n	11	25	50	1250		
•		M	50	65	3250		
		P1	1450	80	116000		
100		P2	1475	75	110625		
		U1	500	60	30000		
	Total		3500		261125	75	34 (Medium)
31	Ym3p	P1	900	80	72000		
		P2	300	75	22500		
		uı	1425	60	85500		
	Total		2625		180000	69	74 (Very High)
32	Ym3q	11	75	50	3750		
		P1	1825	80	146000		
		P2		75	37500		
		uı	2450	60	147000	-	
	Total		4850		134250	69	75 (Very High)
33	Ym3r	11	150	50	7500		

		M 3	50	65	3250		
(HER)	(** (C*)	P1	1300	80	104000		
		P2	800	75	60000		
	77.75%	U1	1775	60	106500		
K-a	Total		4075		281250	69	76 (Very High)
34	Ym3s	P1	825	80	66000		
HAVE		P2	25	75	1875		
		U1	3200	60	192000		
	Total		4050	-	259875	64	91 (Critical)

9. Objectives of the CAT Plan

The CAT Plan targets towards overall improvement in the environmental conditions of the region. All the activities are almed at treating the degraded and potential areas of severe soil erosion. The plan provides benefits due to biological and engineering measures, and its utility in maintaining the ecosystem. It also aims to reduce fuel wood consumption at least during the interregnum till the time plantations become utilizable.

In consonance with the above, this CAT Plan has following objectives: -

- Reducing soil erosion and land degradation by taking up adequate and effective soil conservation measures in erosion prone area (severe and very severe).
- Rehabilitation of degraded forest areas through afforestation, treatment of pastureland and facilitating natural regeneration.
- Reduction/control of cultivation practices in the catchment area through suitable and appropriate alternatives acceptable to local residents.
- Demonstration of good practices in agriculture and horticulture land treatment.
- Saving and conservation of forest based fuel.
- Conservation and rehabilitation of riverine flora particularly in the river stretches between barrage and tailrace outlet.

As mentioned elsewhere in this document, the catchment being dynamic and always under constant pressure of activities, both anthropogenic and other than anthropogenic, keeps generating silt of tonsiderable proportions. A lot of silt load is

found right at the point where the river and its tributaries leave the glaciers. Complete stoppage of silt is therefore a utopian expectation, as it would also mean complete freezing of all activities at the base level/time. Hence, project proponent's bottom line in this respect is stabilization of silt flow so that it does not increase any further, if it can not effectively be reduced.

10. Proposed Treatment

Proper gully treatment and water harvesting structures are required to be provided in the catchment area so as to reduce runoff and sediment flow. To check siltation, it is imperative that massive afforestation programme is also taken up in the area. Thus, the catchment treatment involve both biological and engineering measures for arresting the soil erosion process and controlling the sediment transfer to a certain extent. The Catchment Area Treatment (CAT) Plan envisages controlling the soil erosion process and subsequent sediment transfer to the reservoir.

The plan with objectives addresses issues such as prevention of gully erosion, enhancing the forest for increasing soil holding capacity; and arresting total sediment flow in the reservoir and flowing water.

10.1 Activities in the CAT Plan

Considering the topographic factors, soil type, climate, hill slopes and land use in the catchment area following engineering and biological measures have been proposed to be undertaken with the aim to check the soil erosion, prevent/check siltation of reservoir and to maintain its storage capacity in the long run.

10.1.A. Engineering Measures

10.1.A.i Gully Control-Check Dams

Gullies are mainly formed on account of physiography, soil type and heavy biotic interference in an area. The scouring of streams at their peak flows and sediment laden run of cause

gullies. The gullles would be required to be treated with engineering/mechanical as well as vegetative methods. Check dams would be constructed in some of the areas to promote growth of vegetation that will consequently lead to the stabilization of slopes/area and prevention of further deepening of gullies and erosion. Different types of check dams would be required for different condition comprised of different materials depending upon the site conditions and the easy availability of material (stones) at local level and transport accessibility. An area of 920 ha shall be provided with this type of treatment. The types of check dam recommended for treatment are:

- Model I: Dry Rubble Stone Masonry (DRSM)
- Model II: Combination of DRSM and crate works, for moderate to deep guilles.
- Model III: Combination of DRSM walls, check dams, and crate walls.

10.1.A.ii Stream Bank Protection

Rainfall pattern of the area, water seepage coupled with geological formation results in landslides under natural conditions. Human interference may also trigger it by disturbing the slopes like by digging and by earth cutting. Water plays an important role in triggering of landslides and mass wasting processes along with other factors such as slope and nature of soll/and cover/land use. However, most of the landslides are caused by human negligence. Road construction, overgrazing of hill slopes, felling of trees for timber, fuel and fodder and up slope extension of cultivation are some of main causes of landslides. The landslide prone areas of app. 985.00 ha would be taken up under following treatments.

10.1.A.lv.a. Catch water Drains

Among the most effective, practical and least expensive measures of landslide hazard management is the construction of catch water drains for run-off and surface waters in the identified hazard-prone zone so that no or little water is able to infiltrate into the ground. All the streams and minor watercourses would

hazardous area through catch water drains with an adequate gradient. The catch water drain when provided avoids the runoff to pass over such vulnerable areas and water is guided through these drains located on foothill or along the Katcha/Pucca roads. The ground surface of threatened area is leveled out to eliminate all depressions where water can accumulate. Area of 500.00 ha would be taken up under this category of treatment.

10.1.A.lv.b Check dams/Retaining walls (R/W):

Brush Wood check dams are useful in arresting further erosion of depressions, channels and guilles on the denuded landslides. In addition, retaining walls would be constructed to provide support at the base of threatened slopes. An area of 485.00ha would be taken up under this category treatment.

10.1.A.Iv.c Slope Modification by Stepping or terracing

To avoid rolling down of the earth mass along landslide areas and to reduce the run off speed of rainwater, the slope is modified to increases its stability considerably by grading it. The construction of steps or terraces to reduce the slope gradient is one of the measures.

The area under moderate to steep slopes would be

10.1.A.lv.d Bench Terracing

subjected to bench terracing. The local people would be convinced to follow this type of terracing for comparatively better yield and with minimum threat to erosion. Moreover, in number of habitations in the catchment such practices are already visible. While making bench terraces, care will have to be taken not to disturb the topsoil by spreading earth from the lower terraces to higher terraces. The vertical intervals between terraces will not be more than 1.5 m and cutting depth may be kept at 50 cm. The minimum average width of the terrace would be kept from 4 to 5 m in order to enable usage of prolong hinge. The shoulder bunds of 30 x 15 cm would also be provided. Staggered channels will drain off the excess water from the terraces. An area of 125.00 ha will be covered under this plan.

10.1.B. Biological Measures

10.1.B.1 Restoration of Degraded Areas

In critically degraded areas, plantation of locally useful diverse and Indigenous plant species such as timber species, fodder species, fuel wood species, grasses, shrubs, legumes, medicinal and aromatic plants would be undertaken. An area of 1855.00 ha is proposed to be taken up for various biological measures under this component during the CAT Plan Implementation period. For raising plantation, suitably located two number (02 Nos.) nurseries would be developed in area for facilitating treatment in different pockets. The plantations done on forest land under this component shall be maintained for a period of seven years.

Choice of species:

In all the biological measures suggested for the forestland and pastureland treatment, in this Plan, local indigenous species shall be preferred and planting of exotics shall be avoided. NTFP shall also be planted in the afforestation areas besides being introduced as ground flora in the appropriate forest areas.

10.1.B.l.a Afforestation

This will include raising of multi-tier mixed vegetation of suitable local species in the steep and sensitive catchment areas of rivers/streams with the objective of keeping such areas under permanent vegetative cover. It is proposed to bring an area of 300.00 ha under such plantation during the project construction period under this component. Further, more degraded areas would also be brought under some vegetation cover by way of timber plantation. The aim of raising afforestation need not be elaborated for it is too obvious. It would not only fulfill the purposes of silt reduction but also help the local populace to fulfill its needs. The plantations done under this component shall be maintained for a period of seven years. An area of 300.00 ha would be covered under this plan.

The forests in the catchment are heavily burdened with rights of the local people including the right to concessional and free timber in the form of standing trees. With the increase in population as also increase in the incomes and living standards of the local people demand for house construction and also for other purposes has increased manifold leading to greater pressure on forests. This in turn leads to denudation and increased silt flow. Thus a need has been felt to make special efforts for raising exclusive plantations to meet the timber demand. Accordingly, an area of 325 ha would be developed as timber plantation.

10.1.B.l.c Fodder plantation

Cattle population (that includes sheep and goats) almost equals the human population in the state and the position in the catchment is no different. Grazing and lopping for fodder are amongst the easily identifiable causes of degradation of vegetation. To help ameliorate the situation of scarce availability of fodder, it is proposed to bring a substantial area under fodder

plantation with suitable indigenous species. An area of 130.00 ha is proposed to be covered under this component.

10.1.B.l.d NTFP plantations

A number of families supplement their income by collecting and selling NTFP (Non-Timber Forest Produce) including medicinal and aromatic species) besides consuming some quantities for their own use. Over a period of time due to increased blotic pressure the availability and regeneration of the species of NTFP in demand is reducing. To sustain their growth and contribution to the economy, suitable plantations of appropriate local species of NTFP would be raised over an area of 250 ha.

10.1.B.II Pasture Development

There are high altitude alpine pastures in the upper most parts of the catchment and temperate to sub-tropical pastures in the zones lower than Alpine zone. The second type of pastures are owned separately by private individuals/families and

pastures would be treated with suitable measures.

10.1.B.II.a Alpine Pasture Development

As has been written elsewhere in this Plan, number of cattle, sheep and goats is very high and consequently the grazing pressure is also high. This causes degradation of pasturelands. There are two types of pastures in the catchment. One type is the permanent pasture of high altitude Alpine zone and the other is lower in altitude and is used as pasture for no other use is possible on this kind of land. The latter one is found nearer habitations. Both these types of pastures are subjected to grazing beyond their carrying capacities leading to varying degrees of degradation. Appropriate measures will be adopted to encourage development of healthy pasture areas for the use of cattle herders.

The Alpine zone pastures would be treated with grass sowing, grass slip/tuft planting in patches with soil and moisture conservation measures wherever needed. Medicinal herbs and

other NTFP spices that grow in this zone would also be introduced. It is proposed to develop an area of 200 ha of alpine zone pastures.

10.1. B.II.b Lower Zone Pasture Development

The lower zone pastures are owned separately by both government and private families/individuals. These pastures are nearer habitations and bear the brunt of excessive grazing through out the year. It is proposed to treat pastures under private holdings also besides the ones under government ownership. In the lower zone pastures a silvi-pasture model would be adopted and suitable species of grasses and tree fodder, and leguminous plant species shall be planted. Effective fencing would also be provided for protection of saplings in the government owned pastures. The owner of the pastures in private holding has to take the protection measures at his/her own convenience and cost.

Before any new area is taken up, eradication of weeds and unpalatable grass species is equally important. It is recommended that some parts of the pastures should be closed for seeding

purpose only. It is proposed to cover an area of 300 ha (100 ha Privately owned + 200 ha government owned) under this component.

10.1. B.III Conservation and regeneration of riverine trees

As has been mentioned in the foregoing that impounding and abstraction of water from the river affect the river stretch downstream of the barrage site down to the tallrace outlet. It is apprehended that the riverine species growing along the banks and in the braided part of the channel may not able to regenerate due to reduction in the flow (both volume and speed) of water. One such species is alder (kunish/kosh), which has a thick seed coat. The seed coat under natural conditions is broken due to soaking in water and by thrashing action of currents and waves. Therefore, to help the conservation and regeneration of the riverine species, this special component has been included in the CAT Plan. Similarly, other species of riverine succession also need assistance.

The two new nurseries that are provided in this Plan would cater primarily to the need of riverine flora conservation and extension. Plantation of riverine species like alders, willow etc would be carried out in linear strips along the riverbanks. Accordingly, higher norms for the same have been provided as linear strip plantation requires longer fencing and also may need watering in its incipience.

10.1. B.IV Private Land Treatment

It has been the experience elsewhere that erosion takes place from the privately owned land also. Silt contribution from the private holding is also significant. While change of land use is not the mandate of the CAT Plans yet It can help betterment of the current land uses and attempt to encourage better land management helping reduce silt flow. Therefore, it is proposed to provide treatment to private holdings for horticulture development. Agriculture development and also for pasture development. Fencing and also maintenance costs are not provided under this activity as these are to be the contribution of the land owners.

10.1. B.IV.a Plantation for horticultural and agricultural land development.

Substantial parts of the catchment area is under private ownership and has the potential for development to increase land productivity and help enhance incomes of the individuals or families owning such lands. Under this treatment plan, suitable horticultural crop species like apple, plum, apricot and walnut etc shall be planted in select areas adjacent of the villages. The species listed here are only indicative and not conclusive. The treatment would also include soil and moisture conservation measures wherever needed. An area of 300 ha has been earmarked to be undertaken for this treatment. However, the owners as their share of the cost shall do fencing and maintenance.

10.1. B.IV.b Private Pasture Development

As has been mentioned in the foregoing under the lower zone pasture development, the privately owned pastures would

also be treated with appropriate silvi-pasture model. Suitable species of grasses and tree fodder, and leguminous plant species shall be planted. No expenditure on account of fencing can be provided in case of private pastures, as the owner of the pastures in private holding has to take the protection measures at his/her own convenience and cost. Similarly, the maintenance costs, if any, shall be borne by the owners.

Before any new area is taken up, eradication of weeds and unpalatable grass species is equally important. It is recommended that some parts of the pastures should be closed for seeding purpose only. It is proposed to treat an area of 200 ha of privately owned pastures in this CAT Plan.

10.1.C. Fuel saving and alternate fuel devices

The riverine trees are locally used primarily for two purposes i.e. fuel and fodder. Since their regeneration is likely to be adversely impacted and the new plating suggested in this Plan are going to take time to reach harvesting age, it is necessary that devices be installed to reduce their requirement for fuel purposes.

Alder is particularly used for cremation of dead bodies as it is readily available nearer the cremation grounds invariably located on riverbeds or riverbanks. Therefore, construction of improved crematoria is provided in the CAT Plan. These are simple CGI sheet covered structures having open kilns made of fire clay bricks using fire clay cement mortar and are provided with side ventilation as also an iron grill for ventilation from below to facilitate quicker burning. Their design is now standardized and is already available with the forest department. All crematoria would be constructed at most suitable sites (suitable from all angles including from social/customary/religious angle) along the riverbanks to save them from floods. Small water channel to wash the ashes is also included in the cost estimate of each unit.

Similarly, other fuel saving devices are also sought to be introduced like LPG connections as one time non-recurring assistance to deserving families to reduce their dependence on forest trees besides reducing smoke and concomitant health risks.

This will be in the shape of one time non-recurring assistance. Provision for the same has been made in the cost estimates as lump sum.

10.1.D. Administrative/Infrastructure support

Implementation of CAT Plan places responsibility and additional workload on the executing agency. In this case the executing agency is the forest department of the state. In case of the Compensatory Afforestation there is a levy of 17.5 % Departmental Charges as this work has been viewed as an additional work carried out on behalf of the project. Similarly, for implementing the CAT Plan, the executing agency needs Infrastructure support as also administrative cost and has expressed the same during the interaction. Accordingly, provision has been made in the CAT Plan to purvey office equipments (Computers, photocopiers & fax machines) and vehicles, fund construction of one new and repair 8 old Forest Rest Houses In the catchment. Detailed costing has been dealt under the cost norms.

10.1.E. Publicity and Awareness

Creating awareness and doing publicity of the works being done under the CAT Plan are also important as these show the correct picture to the masses. Besides, it also reflects on the environmental responsibilities being discharged by the Sawra-Kuddu. Hence, supporting publicity and awareness about the activities of the CAT Plan in particular has also been identified as one of the activities in the CAT Plan.

To support monitoring of works, it is also proposed to provide sign board/hoarding for each work done in the field. The cost for the same would also be met from this component. However, it has been depicted under the Administrative and infrastructure costs as it is to be carried out by the CAT Plan executing agency.

10.1.F. Monitoring & Evaluation

Effective monitoring of the implementation of the CAT Plan is equally important and need not be emphasized. Further, during the interaction with local PRI representatives (some of whom were accompanied by local residents) expressed to be associated not only in the monitoring process but also in the approval of the annual plan of activities for the CAT Plan. A separate paragraph has been devoted on the implementation mechanism as also on monitoring aspects.

The funds being allocated under this head are meant for carrying out mid-term and end of the term appraisal by hiring professional individual/agency. However, the expenditure incurred on the meeting of two committees as prescribed in this Plan shall also be met with from the funds being provided under Monitoring and Evaluation.

10.2 Cost Analysis – Economic and Financial Aspects

The costs for the proposed schemes comprise of capital investments, annual recurring & maintenance costs. The

construction of nursery, and vehicles form the capital cost. Annual recurring costs include purchase of seed, manure, salary and wages, and miscellaneous expenditure. The plantations done on forestlands under this Plan shall be maintained for a period of seven years. The unit costs are used to determine total cost. Capital costs other than the nursery are taken in Lump Sum. Recurring costs are determined on annual basis. The unit costs are determined for three models of check dams for gully control measures, and secondly wire crates and vegetative spurs for stream bank protection. In case of other engineering measures such as bench terracing, contour stagger trenching, catchment drains and slope modification rates from previous plans have been considered with adjustments considering 12% increase. For biological measures, costs of plantation were determined based on rates per ha from forest department. Administrative and infrastructure costs include expenses of vehicles, equipment, computer, took etc. It also includes cost of constructing a new Forest Rest House (FRH) besides maintaining 8 older ones. Unforeseen expenditure shall be met from the savings from unutilized amount after meeting the physical targets.

10.3. Determination of Unit Costs

10.3.A. Engineering measures

10.3.A.I. Cost Estimate for Gully Control Measures

Three models of DRSM Check Dams are prescribed for implementation.

Model - I

Conditions: DRSM Check dames in guilles that are shallow to moderate, where stones are available at site. Considering an area of 5 ha, the unit costs are determined.

Check Dames to be erected = 8 Nos.

Average Dimension = 8 m × 1.5m × 2m = 24

Cost @ Rs. 240/- cum = 24 × 240 = Rs. 5,760/- per

Cost for 8 No.s of check dams = Rs. 46,080/-

Cost per ha. = Rs. 46,080/5

Rs. 9216/- per ha.

Say Rs 9250/-

Model - II

Conditions: DRSM check dams in side gullies along with main gully create walls, where stones are available at site. Considering an area of 20 ha, the unit costs are determined.

[A] Gully Crate Walls

Gully Crates to be erected = 9 Nos.

Average Dimension = $8m \times 1.5m \times 2m = 24$ cum

Total stones required = 216 cum (24 cum x 9 No.s)

Crate wire required = 9 quintals

[1] Cost of crate wire = Rs. 3,200 \times 9 = Rs.

28,800/-

[2] Transport, weaving etc. = Rs. 4,500/-

[3] Cost of crate with local stones

 $= Rs. 240/- \times 216 = 51,840/-$

Total cost [1] + [2] + [3] = Rs.85,140/-

[B] Check dams for Side Nala

[C] Check Dams for Main Nala

Check Dams to be erected = 9 Nos.

Average Dimension = 8 m × 1.5m × 2m = 24 cum

Total stones required = 24 cum × 9 = 216 cum

Cost @ Rs. 200/- per cum = Rs.240/- × 216 cum

= Rs. 51,840/-

Therefore, Total Cost for 20 ha = [A] + [B] + [C] =(Rs.85,140/- + Rs.1,15,200/- + Rs.

43,200/-)

= Rs. 2,52,180/Hence, cost per ha = Rs. 2,52,180/20 ha = Rs. 12,609/- per ha

Say Rs 12,650/-

Model - III

Conditions: Combination of DRSM Check Walls, DRSM check dams and Crate works in areas with eroding slopes. Considering an area of 20 ha, the unit costs are determined.

[A] Gully Crate Walls

Gully Crates to be erected = 9 Nos.

Average Dimension = $8m \times 1.5 m \times 2m = 24 \text{ cum}$

Total stones required = 216 cum (24 cum × 9 nos.)

Crate wire required = 9 quintals

[1] cost of crate wire = $3,200/- \times 9 = Rs. 28,800/-$

[2] Transport, weaving etc. = Rs. 4,500/-

[3] Cost of crate with local stones

 $=Rs. 240/- \times 216 = 51,840/-$

Total cost [1] + [2] + [3] = Rs. 85,140/-

[B] Check walls

Check walls to be erected = 50 Nos.

Average Dimension = $10 \text{ m} \times 0.8 \text{ m} \times 0.5 \text{ m} = 4 \text{ cum}$

Total stones required = $200 \text{ cum } (4 \text{ cum} \times 50 \text{ nos})$

Construction of check walls = Rs. 240/- x 200 cum

= Rs. 48,000/-

[C] Check Dams

Check Dams to be erected = 30 Nos.

Average Dimension = $8 \text{ m} \times 1.5 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m} = 12 \text{ cum}$

Total Stones required = $12 \text{ cum} \times 30 = 360 \text{ cum}$

Cost @ Rs. 200/- cum = 360 x 240 = Rs. 86,400/-

Therefore, Total Cost for 20 ha = [A] + [B] + [C]

= Rs. 85,140/- + Rs. 48,000/- + Rs. 86,400/-

= Rs. 2,19,540/-

Hence, cost per ha

= Rs.2,19,540/20 ha

= Rs. 10,977/- per ha

Say Rs.11,000/-

10.3. A.II. Cost Estimate for Stream Bank Protection

No.	Item	Unit	Qty.	Rate	Amount
Wire	Crates (2m ×2m ×15	m)			
1	Crate Wire	M	60	95	5700
2	Labour Charges	Man days cum	60	96	5760
3	Stone Collection, fill & tie	Man days cum	60	96	5760
			1012	1 = 17,	ZZU/-
Veg	etative snur				
Veg 1	TO SECURE A SECURITARIO A	Per bundle	40	18	720
	Supply of brushwood	bundle	12	18	720 360

Total = Rs. 1456/-

Note: -

- i) Bench terracing and Contour stagger trenching rates are taken Rs9,500/ex Rs.4,000/- per ha.respectively with prior experiences which may be analyzed by executing agency for execution.
- Catch water drains rate is taken Rs. 1000/- per ha. with prior experiences which may be analyzed by executing agency for execution.

10.3.B. Biological Measures

10.3.B.I. Afforestation Cost Model

PER HA. <u>COST MODEL</u> FOR AFFORESTATION OF DEGRADED FOREST LANDS, CALCULATIONS BASED ON AVERAGE OF 5 ha PLOT OF 250 × 200 MTRS.

(CALCULATED AT WACE DATE OF DS 45/A

Sr. No.	Particulars of works	Qty.	Rate (in Rs.)	Amount (in Rs)for Non tribal Areas
1.	Survey and demarcation of plantation area including marking of sections, path and Preparation of map.	1 ha.	44.36 per ha	44.36
2.	Cutting and preparation of wooden post 1.80 m. Long and 8 to 10 cm dia including debarking and fastening the top 15 cm in conical shape	60 Nos.	561.36 per hundred	336.81
3.	Carriage of fence post up to 2 m long and 8 to 10 cm dia over distance 1 km.	60 Nos.	295.41 per hundred per Km.	The state of the s
4.	Preparation/digging of holes 20-30 cm dia and 45 cm deep.	60 Nos.	393.02 per hundred	235.81
5.	Fixing of wooden	60	301.64 per	180.98

	posts including strutting.	Nos.	hundred	
6.	Carriage of B/wire over average distance of 1 km. Up hills.	1 qtls	73.93 per Qtls per Km	73.93
7.	Stretching and fixing of barbed wire with U-staples in case strand (3 stands)		2.05 per Rm.	1107.00
8.	Bush Cutting in the plantation site	1 ha	517.00 per ha	517.00
9.	Interlacing of thorny bushes in B/wire obtained from planting site	1905-001150	1.78 per Rm	320.40
10.	Preparation of inspection path 60 cm wide.	250R m	4.71 per Rm	1177.50
11.	Layout of pits over.	1ha	73.82	73.82
12.	Digging of pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm (40% of total)	12/02/05/05	413.60 per hundred	1819.84
13.	Digging of pits 30×30×30 cm (60% of total)	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	206.85 per hundred	1365.21
14.		440 No.	118.51 per hundred	521.44
15.	Filling of pits 30×30×30 cm	660 No.	82.70 per hundred	545.82
16.	Carriage of naked root plants over distance 2 km. Up hills (BL as well as conifers)	No.	15.27 per hundred/Km	
17.		ASS / / / COMPANY	94.51 per hundred	1323.14
18.	Planting of entire plants raised in P/bags	F0000000000000	94.57 per hundred	661.99

19.	Planting of Naked root plants.	400 No.	79.73 per hundred	318.92
20.	Nursery cost of plants	1100 Nos.		4400.00
21.	Sub-Total (1)			15323.37
22.	Soil and moisture conservation work up to (25% of initial planting cost at serial number 21)	1ha	25% of initial planting cost	And the second s
23.				19154.12
24.	Add cost of B/wire including cost of U Nails.	45kg	2300	1785.00
25.	P. President			20879.12
26.	Or Say			20800.00
	MAINTENANCE COST			
M. 1	1st year maintenance 25% mortality			
a	Re-digging of pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	110 No.	206.85 per hundred	227.53
Ь	Re-digging of pits 30×30×30 cm.		103.40 per hundred	170.61
C	Filling of Pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	110 No.	118.51 per hundred	130.36
d	Filling of pits 30×30×30 cm	165 No.	82.70 per hundred	136.45
е	Planting of Polythene bags plants	175 No.	94.57 per hundred	165.49
f	Planting of Naked root plants	Adaptive States and Company	79.73 per hundred	79.73
g	Carriage of Polythene bags plants over a distance of 2 km. Up hills	The State of the S	94.51 per hundred	33,0.78
h	Carriage of Naked	100	15.27 per	30.54

	root plants over a distance of 2 Km up hills	No.	hundred	
i	Nursery cost of plants	275 Nos.	4.00 per hundred	1100.00
j	Repair of fence	180 Rm.	0.70 per	126.00
k	Repair of Inspection path		L/S	300.00
I	Repair of soil and moisture conservation works		L/S	400.00
m	Sub-Total (3)			3197.49
	Or Say		1	3200.00
M. 2	2nd year maintenance 20% mortality			
a	Re-digging of pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	88 No	266.85 per hundred	182.02
ь	Re-digging of pits 30×30×30 cm	132 No	103.40 per Hundred	136.48
с	Filling of Pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	88 No	118.51 per hundred	104.28
d	Filling of pits 30 × 30 × 30 cm	132 No.	84.70 per Hundred	109.16
e	Planting of Polythene bags plants	140 No.	With Company of the C	132.39
f	Planting of Naked root plants	80 No.		63.78
g	Carriage of Polythene bags plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	140 No.	91.51 per Hundred	264.62
h	Carriage of Naked root plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	80 No.	15.27 per Hundred	24.42
i	Nursery cost of plants	220 No.	4.00 per plant	880.00
i	Repair of fence	180R	A-7-14.000	126.00

		m	Rm.	
k	Repair of Inspection path		L/S	300.00
1	Repair of soil and moisture conservation works		L/S	400.00
m	Sub-Total (4)	2		2723.15
	Or Say			2800.00
M. 3	Third year maintenance 15% mortality			5
a	Re-digging of pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	66 No.	206.85 per Hundred	136.52
Ь	Re-digging of pits 30×30×30 cm	99 No.	103.40 per Hundred	102.36
c	Filling of Pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	66 No.	118.51 per Hundred	78.21
d	Filling of pits 30×30×30 cm	99 No.	82.70 per Hundred	81.87
e	Planting of Polythene bags plants	Control of the Contro	94.57 per Hundred	99.29
f	Planting of Naked root plants	Total Contract	79.73 per Hundred	47.83
g	Carriage of Polythene bags plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	-7-7-3	94.51 per Hundred	198.46
h	Carriage of Naked root plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	No.	15.27 per Hundred	18.32
i	Nursery cost of plants	165 No.	4.00 per	660.00
j	Repair of fence	200 Rm	0.70 per	140,00
k	Repair of Inspection		L/S	300.00
1	Repair of soil and moisture conservation		L/S	400.00

	works			
m	Sub-Total (5)			2262.86
	Or Say			2300.00
M. 4	Fourth year maintenance 15% mortality			
a	Re-digging of pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	66 No.	206.85 per Hundred	136.52
ь	Re-digging of pits 30×30×30 cm	99 No.	103.40 per Hundred	102.36
С	Filling of Pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	66 No.	118.51 per Hundred	78.21
d	Filling of pits 30×30×30 cm	99 No.	82.70 per Hundred	81.87
e	Planting of Polythene bags plants	105 No.	94.57 per Hundred	
f	Planting of Naked root plants	60 No.	79.73 per Hundred	47.83
g	Carriage of Polythene bags plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	COC. 10	94.51 per Hundred	198.47
h	Carriage of Naked root plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	15.27 per Hundred	18.32
i	Nursery cost of plants	165 No.	4.00 per	660.00
j	Repair of fence	200 Rm	0.70 per Rm.	140.00
k	Repair of Inspection path		L/S	200.00
I	Repair of soil and moisture conservation works	1	L/S	200.00
m	Sub-Total (6) Or Say			1962.87
M. 5	Fifth year maintenance 10%			

	mortality			
a	Re-digging of pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	44 No.	206.85 per hundred	91.01
Ь	Re-digging of pits 30×30×30 cm		103.40 per hundred	68.24
С	Filling of pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm.	44 No.	118.51 per hundred	52.14
d	Filling of pits 30 x 30 x 30 cm.	66 No.	82.70 per hundred	54.58
e	Planting of Polythene bags plants	70 No.	94.57 per hundred	66.19
f	Planting of Naked root plants	40 No.	79.73 per hundred	31.89
g	Carriage of Polythene bags plants over a distance of 2 km up hills		94.51 per hundred	132.31
h	Carriage of Naked root plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	40 No.	15.27 per hundred	12.21
i	Nursery cost of plants	110 Nos.	4.00 per	440.00
j	Repair of fence	200 Rm.		140.00
k	Repair of Inspection path		L/S	200.00
1	Repair of soil and moisture conservation works		L/S	200.00
m	Sub-Total (7)			1488.57
	Or Say			1500.00
M. 6	Sixth year maintenance 10% mortality			•
a	Re-digging of plts 45 x 45 x 45 cm.	44 No.	206.85 per hundred	91.01

b	Re-digging of pits 30×30×30 cm	66 No.	103.40 per hundred	68.24
c	Filling of Pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	44 No.		52.14
d	Filling of pits 30×30×30 cm	66 No.	82.70 per hundred	54.58
e	Planting of Polythene bags plants	70 No.	94.57 per hundred	66.19
f	Planting of Naked root plants	40 No.	79.73 per hundred	31.89
g	Carriage of Polythene bags plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	70 No.	94.51 per hundred	132.31
h	Carriage of Naked root plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	40 No.	15.27 per hundred	12.21
i	Nursery cost of plants	110 Nos.	4.00 per	440.00
j	Repair of fence	150 Rm	0.70 per Rm	105.00
k	Repair of Inspection path		L/S	100.00
1	Repair of soil and moisture conservation works		L/S	100.00
m	Sub-Total (8) Or Say			1253.57
M. 7	Seventh year maintenance 10% mortality			
a	Re-digging of pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm	44 No.	206.85 per hundred	91.01
Ь	Re-digging of pits 30×30×30 cm	66 No.	103.40 per hundred	68.24
c	Filling of Pits 45 × 45 × 45 cm.		118.51 per hundred	52.14
d	Filling of pits	66	The state of the s	54.58

	30×30×30 cm.	No.	hundred	
e	Planting of Polythene bags plants	70 No.	The second section is a second	66.19
f	Planting of Naked root plants			31.89
g	Carriage of Polythene bags plants over a distance of 2 km up hills.	70	94.51 per hundred	132.31
h	Carriage of Naked root plants over a distance of 2 km up hills	40 No.	15.27 per hundred	12.21
1	Nursery cost of plants	110 Nos.	4.00 per	440.00
ı	Repair of fence	150 Rm.	0.70 per	105.00
k	Repair of Inspection path		L/S	100.00
1	Repair of soil and moisture conservation works		L/S	100.00
m	Sub-Total (9)			1253.57
	Or Say			1250.00
27	Grand Total Initial cost of 7 years maintenance			35100.00
28	Contingencies (5% of total cost)			1755.00
29	Grand Total			36855.00
30	Or Say			36800.00
		5500		30000.00

10.3.B.I. Linear strip planting Cost Model for riverine tree spp conservation

In addition to the above cost model for afforestation, the linear strip planting along watercourse mainly of the Pabbar River would require costs on account of carriage of material with in the plantation area and also would entail higher costs for fencing. The perimeter of fencing in this model would be much longer as compared to the traditional afforestation model. As such its initial planting cost is taken as Rs 26,000/- per ha as opposed to the per ha cost of Rs 20,800/- per ha for afforestation model for initial planting. Similarly, the maintenance cost is also higher at Rs 15,000 per ha in case of this model.

10.3.C. Maintenance:

Provision of maintenance is proposed for the works to be executed under CAT-Plan after its completion @ 5%, upto 7years, of all items taken under Engineering & Biological measures in which maintenance cost is not included.

The executing agency may bifurcate the amount of maintenance as per the requirement and unutilized amount may be diverted to other treatment works in the catchment with proper codal formalities. Amount may be seen in the Table:3

10.3.D.	Machinery & Equipmen	nt		77.5
S. No.	Items	Qty.	Rate (Rs. In lacs)	(In lacs.)
1	Trucks	1	8.00	8.00
2	Bolero	1	6.00	6.00
	Sub total			14.00
3	Fax	2	0.15	0.30
4 (i)	Desktop Pentlum 4 PC's complete with assessories, and 1 laser printer		0.50	3.00
(ii)	Photo copiers	2	1.0	2.00
	Sub total	5.30 Lacs		And the second second second second second
5 R & M of Vehicle for Syears		L/S.		O Lacs

10.3.E	Buildings/FRHs^			
!	Repair & furnishing of old FRH's	Qty.	Rate (Rs.)	Amount (in lacs.)
(i)	At Rohru	01 No.	L.S.	2.00
(ii)	At Khadda Pather	01 No	L/S	5.00
(iii)	At Dodra	01 No	L/S	5.00
(lv)	At Tikker	01 No	L/S	2.00
(v)	At Biswani	01 No	L/S	2.00
(vi)	At Dalgaa	01 No	L/S	2.00
(vii)	At Basla	01 No	L/S	4.00
(viii)	At Gowas	01 No	L/S	4.00
	Sub total C			26.00
2	New FRH Construction I/c furnishing stimates to be prepared by the execution in the executi		L/S	25.00

^{*} Detailed estimates to be prepared by the executing agency. However, executing agency shall be at liberty to approve any variation of cost estimates between FRHs but remaining with in the overall cost of Rs 26.00 lakh.

10.3.F	Publicity and Awareness	-	L.S.	9.00
Besides expe under this c	enditure on awareness campaigns as component would also include cos	d other	publicity mea	sures, the cost oards for each

10.3.G. Fuel Saving Devices: - (Cost Model)

10.3.G.l. The alternate fuel in the form of LPG connection

LPG gas connections (cylinder along with stove) to be distributed to the effected permanent residents of the area. One time assistance as Non-recurring cost.

Approximately 2000 connections @ Rs.1500/- to be released over a span of 5 years.

Hence, total amount = 2000 No. @ RS. 1500/- = Rs 3,00,000/-

(Rupees Thirty Lacs only)

Crematoria -4.00 No @ *Rs.4,00,000/- = Rs.16,00,000/-

It includes the cost of water channel, site selection etc. for complete Job in all respect.

* The detailed estimate to be prepared by the executing agency through competent professionals.

11. Total Cost of CAT Plan

The total cost of implementing this CAT Plan is Rs 731.08/- lakhs. Details of Cost for five years Plan can be viewed at a glance in Table-3, which also provides the component-wise break up. The implementing agency may adjust inter-component costs depending upon the need and savings from any one component without increasing the over cost of the CAT Plan. Similarly, it may vary the year-wise cost also.

11.1. Cost apportioning between sub-catchments

As elaborated under introduction the cost of this CAT Plan has to be apportioned appropriately since there are four more HEPs on main Pabbar river coming up shortly. It was duly

deliberated with the concerned officers of the forest department and it was decided that till such time other projects' CAT Plans are not formulated, the Sawra-Kuddu HEP should treat the whole catchment. However, in view of the upcoming other HEPs it was also decided that the total cost should be apportioned half-half between treatable catchment area (263 Sq Km) and the reminder of the total catchment (i.e. 1034 Sq Km – 263 Sq Km =) 771 Sq Km area. This arrangement will come to an end the day any other HEP's CAT Plan on main Pabbar river is formulated.

12. Implementing agency

The implementing agency of this CAT Plan shall be the HP Forest Department as per GOI stipulations being followed elsewhere too. The forest department has the requisite expertise and experience of formulation and implementation of CAT Plans. Extensive and intensive consultation with them has been cone while preparing this Plan. Some of the components the implementing agency has desired to be provided to it in kind, the cost of which shall be borne from this Plan. All such components

shall accordingly be shown in the funds release statements of PVPC and also of funds received statements of the HP Forest Department. The Pabbar Valley Power Corporation (PVPC) shall be associated in the APO (Annual Plan of Operation) approval and also in the subsequent monitoring of activities. Details are provided in the following paragraphs.

13. Institutional Mechanisms for implementation

The HP Forest Department would implement this

Catchment Area Treatment Plan. The Forest Department would

be at liberty to sub-contract relevant components.

The soil conservation and afforestation programme would evolve employment opportunities; thus, people's participation should be encouraged and would involve mobilization of manpower for such activities. As such, wherever feasible and where people show interest as per state's PFM rules, CAT Plan activities should be planned and executed in accordance with the

same. Experts and professionals competent enough in operationalzing the plan may be consulted from time to time.

14. Role of PVPC and other Agencies

There would be four more CAT Plan of other four HEPs on the main Pabbar river soon taking the total number of CAT Plans to five in this valley in HP to be implemented by Rohru Forest Division. Therefore, it may be prudent to have a common society for implementing all the five CAT Plans in the valley. Forming a Pabbar Valley CAT Plan Society at the earliest is advisable.

The suggested society should inter alla have members from all the user agencies like Pabbar Valley Power Corporation. Representatives of the PRIs should also be enlisted as members. NGOs, if any, working in the area should also be involved.

However, till the time such an entity comes into being and starts functioning, an interim arrangement has been devised and is described in the subsequent paragraphs. There would be two committees; one for approval of the APO and the other for monitoring of works of CAT Plan. Even in areas where PFM

mode is adopted for implementation this mechanism shall remain in force and shall not be overruled.

14.1. APO Approval Committee

Till the time the suggested Pabbar Valley CAT Plan Society does not come into being and is not opertionalized, as an interim arrangement a committee shall approve the activities on an annual basis. This committee shall be called APO Approval Committee of

Following shall be the constitution of the committee, which

shall be notified by the Conservator of Forests, Shimla.

- 1. Chalrman - Conservator of Forest, Shimla.
- Block Development Officer Rohru 3. Block Development Officer Jubbal

Sawra-Kuddu HEP CAT Plan.

2.

- 4. ADO, Agriculture Department.
- 5. HDO, Hortlculture Department.
- 6. Technical Officer, Animal Husbandry Department:
- PRI (Panchayati Raj Institution) representative of the 7. affected twelve Panchayats namely - Barada, Ranwl,

Birollar, Thanda, Mandhal, Jhagtan, Jhalta, Giltari, Anti, Sarswatl-Nagar, Kuddu and Patsari.

- 8. Any other four PRI representatives from the remaining catchment nominated by Conservator of Forests, Shimla.
- Representative of M.D., Pabbar Valley Power Corporation
 (P.V.P.C) Limited.
- 10. D.F.O. Rohru Forest Division Member Secretary.

 The Range Officers concerned shall assist the member secretary in the meeting.

Right in the beginning of the financial year or even up to two months before, this committee shall approve the APO of that particular year which is to be treated. The implementing agency will clearly list the areas (with name and location) along with the works to be taken up and their costs in the agenda note for the committee. The report/proceeding of the Monitoring Committee as available would also placed before this committee. A copy of the proceedings along with a copy of the agenda note would be sent to members of the Monitoring Committee also for their information.

This committee will meet once a year. A quorum of 50 % of the members would suffice to hold a meeting and approve the APO and other items of agenda. All non-official members shall be entitled to TA/DA as per rates approved and being followed by DC Shimia. All the expenditure on the conduct of the meetings of this committee would be met from the funds of Monitoring & Evaluation head.

15. Monitoring and evaluation

15.1. Monitoring Committee for CAT Plan Works

As in the case of APO approval, till such time the suggested Pabbar Valley CAT Plan Society does not come into being and does not have proper monitoring mechanism, as an interim arrangement a committee shall monitor the works of this CAT Plan on an annual basis.

The monitoring committee would be constituted as furnished below: -

1. Chairman, Conservator of Forests, Shimla

- 2. A.C.F, Rohru.
- PRI (Panchyati Raj Institution) representatives Any
 (Five) Pardhans of the affected Panchyats to be nominated by CH Shimla in rotation as per convenience.
- 4. Any other four PRI representatives from the remaining catchment nominated by Conservator of Forests, Shimia.
- 5. Representative of M.D, PVPC.
- 6. D.F.O, Rohru Member Secretary.

Chairman may co-opt any other members for a period as felt necessary by him. However, total co-opted members would not be more than five at any time.

The committee would need to ensure the implementation and monitoring of the catchment area treatment works and review the progress from time to time. The implementing agency will, immediately upon its approval, provide a copy of the approved APO giving details such as list of areas (with name and location) along with the works to be taken up and their costs to

each member of the committee right in the beginning of the year. The report/proceeding of the Approval Committee as available would also placed before this committee. Annual progress report would be submitted to PVPC also.

The Committee shall strive to make the monitoring process as transparent as possible. The members of the PRI representatives would be responsible for sharing information in their areas, as also with other representatives of PRIs and who in turn are to share the information in their respective areas.

Meeting of this Committee would be held at least once in a year. A quorum of 50 % of the members would suffice to hold a meeting. All non-official members shall be entitled to TA/DA as per rates approved and being followed by DC Shimla. All the expenditure on the conduct of the meetings of this committee would be met from the funds of Monitoring & Evaluation head.

15.2. Monitoring & Evaluation by Professional Agency

Services of a professional monitoring expert as individual or as an agency (group/firm/company) would be required for supplementing the monitoring efforts of the monitoring committee and also for preparing a well documented monitoring report. The CF Shimla is fully authorized to hire a competent agency/individual for this purpose and order release of payment for the same from the funds allocated for monitoring & evaluation. However, the report shall be tabled before the monitoring committee for its approval.

15.3. Public Awareness and information

In addition, the works should be published through public awareness campaigns. Visual and print media need to be used to embark on maximum benefit by direct and indirect beneficiaries. Such efforts would resolve conflicts which otherwise are potential sources for increasing project gestation. Publishing the list of areas treated in a particular year would be the most appropriate strategy for public information of the works done.

16. Release of funds

The PVPC would release the funds as per year-wise phasing given in Table-3 and during year five, it would release all the remaining funds. Whatever mechanism is adopted for implementing the CAT Plan, whether a society or a committee, representative of the Managing Director of PVPC would be associated in approval of the APOs and also in the subsequent monitoring of works.

17. Other Recommendations

Besides above, following recommendations are being made for smooth implementation of the CAT Plan.

 The implementing agency i.e. HP Forest department should give priority to the project-affected families and local population while employing labor in CAT Plan activities and also in the selection of beneficiaries under alternate fuel devices.

- Project authorities should ensure frequent meetings
 with the forest department's CAT Plan
 implementation officials and executing team members
 to enable smooth implementation of the CAT and
 ensure financial flow.
- Sub-contracting of appropriate activities of the CAT
 Plan through local people/agencies should be encouraged.
- Adequate emphasis on NTFP introduction and propagation would help the local population in the short term.
- 5. Display of information boards at the work sites showing name of work/component, scope & extent of work, cost involved and year of completion as also name of the funding agency would help in public information.


3 4																		
						-	TABLE	E No.3	3:									
				Physical and financial Details of CAT Plan	cala	nd fir	anci	al De	Sira	ofCA	T Pla	5						
		À	300	Fo. Upe	Zeroit	762	FISTYEST	Year	g	Year	, E	Year	4.12	183	Say	Year		Total
	Decreiotion of the llem	8	1		À		Æ	Fa	Ē	G.	Æ	Fin	K	ē	Ě	F	Phy.	F
		ì		8	+	2	1	ntacs		ST U	-	E Laca	<u>-</u>	8		132		nlacs
	Engineering Measures/Soil & Moisture	Soil	Mois		Conservation	tion							1					
	Golfy Control >											1	-	1	1		00000	27.00
1	Woon :	83	2	92500		7%		740	8	3	8 8	140	88	3	3	3	3 3	30.75
2	Work I	8	7	12650			88	7.59	88	B.	80 09	753	88	93	8		00 00	37.95
2	Wool II	23	7	110000				787	8	7.	8	7.27	00 7	3	8	7	220.00	24.20
50	Stream bank protection							П			-		-	15	2		200	21.11
V.	Mre Crates	8	9	17230			8	-	8	_	808	0 22	300		3 3	000	3 8	
-	COCK SOCIOLOGY	87	9	2357.			88	9	8.8	-	8		0000	e.	8	0	3	700
	Senct britache	23	7	98000			8	_	8	74	25 80	2	8	-	8	2.3	30.02	
-	Cortor stappe tending	S	7	2007			8	80	8	80	8	8	2	3	2	3	8	3
-86	Landsides Control:-						-						1	1			8	400
7	Catchwater dans	8	7	:80				_		3	3	3	1	3 1	3 5	3 1	3 8	20.00
-	P.W. creck darts ritt	\$	7	::30::			8	1067	2	-	818		3	-		100	3	2000
Ĕ	(SOLA)	2730	ź					42.73		427		57	-	5		27.7		213.64
100	B. Biological Measures												1	T	1			
.6	Li Pantadon	Section 2		Annual Contract							T		1	1	T			
4	1 Aforeston -300 ha @ Rs.23 500-(5500+12300	880	2003	2300)			1	-	1	1	-	2	-	7	T		8	38.40
3	Charle work	ğ	7	288	8	52.5	Z	-	3	2	8	9	3	-	2		8 8	20.00
4	Partation Carpeton	g	,	1300			S	123	8	-	8		3	-	3	3	3	3
1	mber Santation 325 ha @ Rs 1200-1780-8820	1.0	8-1-0	0-8800			1	-	1	1	-	4	100	4	1		20,00	12 20
3	לכא פטרפיטל.	Ä	2	3780	8	9	3	37	3	91	3 3	9 1	3 5	3 5	8	1	25.00	78.6
4	Partation Carpeton	Ø	7	0000			S	3	R		3		3	- 4	3	0	3	
-	Codder plantation 130 ha @ Rs 12500	St 136	4				1		1	1	2	2	7	90	T		130.00	.07
3	Achance work	8	2	3780.0	æ	250	9	283	ę	8	9	8	3	2 1	15	8	3 8	
4	antation Completion	130	2	88200			8.8		8		8	573	3	2.5	8	3	3	14.
	NTFP plantation 250 ha @126004	900		1		_	1		1	1	-	1	5	00	1	T	260.00	270
	System work	92	Ź	3780.0	S	1.85	8	_	8	_	8	3	8	_	100		3 8	30.00
4	Pantation Completion	82	2	8820.0			88	44	828	44	808	1	20.00		30.00		30.00	24.22


							4						-	100		201 100		
, N	. Description of the them	È		COST	Č	ē	Phy.	Fin	Ψ	FB	P.	Fn	Å.	Fin	Phy.	Fin	PH.	Fin
1				ď		n 1808		in Lacs	15	n Lacs	2000	in Lacs		niscs		in Lacs		in Lacs
-	e Pasture development 300 ha	G Rs.	2552	@ Rs E250-(2475-577;	(5									R				1
-		300	ľ	2475.0	133	1.49	8	1.49	09	1.49	8	1.49	8	1.49			300.00	7.43
180	ii) Plantation Completion	300	ľ	5775.0			888	3.47	00.09	"	809	3.47	8	3.47	80.00	3.47	300 00	17.33
	Sub-Total (B-4)	1305				12.05		35.20	Į	35.20		35.25		15.20		23.10		175 98
-	5 Conservation & Regenerate	Jo uod	Systems	tree Spp	L													
	_	2	2	500000		1	20	10.00									200	10 00
	Waimenance of numerics 2 No. 500000	2	2	500000				1.00		180		1.00		1.00		1.00	200	5.00
			5	Cher Synt	6													
U	Linearistro planting	8	2	280000			800	2.60	10.00	250	8	250	0001	260	1000	2.60	8000	13.00
177	Sub-Total (B-6)				L			13.60		3.60		3.60		3.60	-	3.60		28 00
-	6 Maintenance																	
6.3	Engineering Structures	2730	* CA	30		*	pochogo	a be apportioned each year	A year a	as per previous year's actual	evidus	162/33		PARCE	physical achievement for 7 Year	ment f	×7 Yea	8.41
4	6 b Afforestation (4.s. above)	8	2	143000		**	corpor	sed eac	to be accordanted each year as per previous year's ample	2040	BVOVE	41.2	9	P.S.C.	physical achievement for 7 Yes	ment f	x 7 Yes	42 90
	6 c Other Plantations (4.5 to 4.e)	1005	\$ c/ B	220		*	contoo	Sed eac	accordance each year as per previous year's actual	2000	PVOVS	2 2.72	0,00	034	physical achievement for 7 Yes	a premi	Y 7 Yes	2020
	6 d Riverne stro planting	8	S 24 74	15000 0		*	cochoo	accordanced each	h year a	25 Der Drevious vear's	PVOUS	25.29		1000	achievement for 7 Yea	ment f	x 7 Yea	7.50
111	Sub-Total (B-6)						Ŀ			1						-		20 07
	Total (B) All Biological Measures	al Mea	Sarres								T							283.51
U	Adminstrativ	vetun	e Expe	-: sesu														
-	Vehicles/Nachnery and Equoments:	prend																
		2	9	5			2	14.8										14.00
0	_			5				2.00		28		200		200		2.00		10.00
U	Computers-6.Photo-copies-2.Fass-2	F20.2		25			9	\$ 30										5.30
80	Buildings -																	
	Mantenance (Recardumshing) of old FR34s-8 at diff.	0000	FE P	SKOR	100	5		88										26.00
1	•	40	2	5			100	26.00										28.00
a	New Forest Rest-Youse (FRV)-Const. Furnishing	Score	FF	2								19						
		-	Z	S			•	25.00										25.00
5	Awareness Publich/60ther charges	Secret		25				250		200	-	1.5		1.5		1.5		9.00
	Total (C)				-			100.8		4.00	-	3,50	-	3,53	-	3.50	T	115.30
ö	Private Land Treatment												-		-		Ī	
5	Pasture development/00/ag	8 ES	b										-		-	Ī	Ī	I
0	Advance work	2002	z	2675.0	Q	8	\$	660	8	680	ş	80	000	66.0			200.00	4.95
ß	Plantation Completion	8	2	57750			800	231	40.00	231	40.00	5	000	231	800	231	200.00	11.55
:				The Personal Property lies	1					ı						4		

1		1	1 19.5	200 000	7see/0	3 Year	First Year	Year	2rd Year	1697	3rd Year	10	451 Year	100	55.7	Year	To	Total
		-	5		-		1	d	à	t	1	5	3		26	ü	Phy.	Fin
Z V	Description of the flem	Š		8	Ċ	2	- Cur	2		-		٦	1	+	t			1
-		•			-	n Laca		nlass	-	n 1905	E	n Lacs	<u>c</u>	n lacs	, ba	n lacs		in Lacs
ľ		2000		4780.0	1	200	9	227	60	227	109	227	08	227	-		300.00	11.34
-	ACCRECE WORK	200	9	200		-	3					1		20.0	200	200	30000	28.4R
-	FilParezon Completion	388	2	88200			60.0	525	009	575	5	000	50.00	270	200	-	200	20.00
1	Total (D)					3.26		10.9	-	10.86	-	10.86	-	10.86	1	7.50		54.30
ш	E Fuel Conservation Measures.	sasures	,										1	T	1	1		0000
-	12 Attentate Fuel				90			88		6.00		88	1	8	T	9	1	30.00
-	13 Fuel saving devices -							_			1	1	†	T	1			20.00
	Crematorias	30	2	4000007	J		m	12.00	280	900	1	1	1	1	1	1	T	20.00
1	Total (E)		347					18.00		14.00	+	8.9	+	8	7	6.10	T	20.00
L	F. Monitoring & Evaluation	uoi										1	7	1	1			
1	This property of Evaluation European by Indicated	A secon	Indece		Arth	Servi B	200	Valuator Agency @ 2% of Totals (A+8+C+D+E)	4.0.V	Q 4								
•	The state of the state of							2.87		287	-	287		2.87		2.5		14.33
	Total (E)							2.87		287	-	2.87	-	2.87		2.57		14.33
1	STATE OF THE PARTY	1		100 10	1					1				T				731.08
	פאמום וחושר - (איפי			2									l	t		ľ	3	
				or Sa	y Rs	Say Rs (in Lacs	acs	_					1			`	5	
1	and to some afternation red three economical series	marrien	200	A. Coresta	Se uon	station areas of 4.3	_											
	The same of the same of the same																	

PV.C, Khalini Shimla-2 (H.P)

4. Sr. Exe. unive Engineer, PVPC, Khalini Shimla-2 (H.P)





SHIMLA DISTRICT LANDUSE MAP PLANTATION CHESTA Sect HG JA X O X A A X Y A A

PUBBAR VALLEY

- District Boundary

BURT-UP LAND

EVENGREENSBA CARROLLE FOREST DEGNADED ON SCHABLAND

DRASS LAND/DRAZNG LAND FOREST PLANTATION

HONGI F-RUES

LAND WITH OR WITHOUT SCRUE


SHOW COMPREDIGACIAL AREA

Source: Prograd from NRSA, Hyderabad Survey of India Toposteess

Dr. 6 NO: 3.4


CATCHMENT ARE A MICRO-WATER-SHEDS WITH CODE

SOURCE .. AGRICULTURE REPORT NO B30 A.I.S. & LUS. G.I. MOA. NEW DELHI.



SCALE : 1:250000

SOURCE : AGRICULTURE REPORT NO B30. A.1.S. & L.U.S. G.I. MOA NEW DEHLI



CATCHMENT AREA MICRO-MATER - SHEDS WITH CODE

SOURCE: AGRICULTURE REPORT NO 830, A.I.S & LU.S. G.I. MOA NEW DEHLI

